

Appl. No. 10/055,518
Amdt. Dated November 29, 2004
Reply to Office Action of October 15, 2004

Docket No. TC00138
Customer No. 23330

REMARKS

Claims 14-17, 31-34, 48-51 and 65-68 remain in the application. Claims 1-13, 18-30, 35-47, 52-64 and 69-71 have been canceled. No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. No amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicant has argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-13, 18-30, 35-47, 52-64 and 69-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Kacel (U.S. 2003/0120395) in view of Vazvan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,400,946). Claims 1-13, 18-30, 35-47, 52-64 and 69-71 have been cancelled without prejudice rendering this rejection moot.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 14-17, 31-34, 48-51 and 65-68 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form, including all other limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 14, 17, 31, 34, 48, 51, 65 and 68 have been rewritten in independent form including all other limitations of the base claim and intervening claims.

Prior Art

The references cited but not relied upon are believed not to anticipate or make obvious Applicants' invention.

Summary

Appl. No. 10/055,518
Amdt. Dated November 29, 2004
Reply to Office Action of October 15, 2004

Docket No. TC00138
Customer No.. 23330

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. No amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicant has argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

The Applicants believe that the subject application, as amended, is in condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited by the Applicants.

In the event that the Examiner deems the present application non-allowable, it is requested that the Examiner telephonc the Applicant's attorney or agent at the number indicated below so that the prosecution of the present case may be advanced by the clarification of any continuing rejection.

Accordingly, this application is believed to be in proper form for allowance and an early notice of allowance is respectfully requested.

Please charge any fees associated herewith, including extension of time fees, to 502117.

Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Motorola, Inc.
Law Department
3102 North 56th Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85018
Customer Number: 23330

By: _____

Kevin D. Wills
Attorney of Record
Reg. No.: 43,993

Telephone:602-952-4399
Fax No.: 602-952-4376